



Elizabeth Woodville School		
Policy Name:	Non-examination Assessment Policy – 2023 - 24	
Owner:	Examinations Managers	
Statutory:	No	
Date Ratified:	January 2023	
Review date:	January 2024	

Contents	
What does this policy affect?	2
Purpose of the policy	
What are non-examination assessments?	
Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessements identifying staff roles and responsibil	
The basic principles	
Task setting	
Issuing of tasks	
Task taking	
Supervision	
Advice and feedback	
Resources	4
Word and time limits	4
Collaboration and group work	5
Authentication procedures	5
Presentation of work	5
Keeping materials secure	5
Task marking – externally assessed components	6
Conduct of externally assessed work	6
Submission of work	6
Task marking – internally assessed components	6
Marking and annotation	6
Internal standardisation	6
Consortium arrangements	7
Submission of marks and work for moderation	7
Storage and retention of work after submission of marks	8
External moderation - feedback	8
Access arrangements	8
Special consideration	9
Malpractice	9
Enquiries about results	
Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England	10
Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England	11
Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments	12





What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of reformed GCE and GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

"The regulator's definition of an examination is very narrow and in effect any type of assessment that is not 'externally set and taken by candidates at the same time under controlled conditions' is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA). 'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'."

[Definition taken directly from the JCQ publication <u>Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments—</u>
Foreword, page 3]

This publication is further referred to in this policy as NEA

Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- define staff roles and responsibilities with respect to non-examination assessments
- manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

[NEA – The basic principles, page 4]

What are non-examination assessments?

"Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task setting;
- task taking;
- task marking."

[NEA – The basic principles, page 4]

Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

The basic principles Head of centre

- Ensures that the centre's non-examination assessment policy is fit for purpose
- Ensures the centre's *internal appeals procedures* clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internally assessed marks





Senior leaders

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments which comply with <u>NEA</u> and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for non-examination assessments are used by teachers and candidates
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Subject head/lead

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the non-examination assessment process
- Ensures <u>NEA</u> and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments
- Works with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers

Subject teacher

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in <u>NEA</u>
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments, including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- Ensures the exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the
 internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code or is made as a separate unit entry code)
 to the internal deadline for entries

Exams officer

 Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment

Task setting

Subject teacher

- Selects tasks from a choice provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work





Issuing of tasks Subject teacher

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials
 are stored securely at all times
- Ensures requirements for legacy specification tasks and new specification tasks are distinguished between

Task taking

Supervision

Subject teacher

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution
- Ensures candidates are aware of the JCQ documents <u>Information for candidates non-examination</u> <u>assessments</u> and <u>Information for candidates Social Media</u>
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents Information for candidates

Advice and feedback

Subject teacher

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allow candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

Resources

Subject teacher

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce improved notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Word and time limits

Subject teacher

Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory





Collaboration and group work Subject teacher

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually

Authentication procedures

Subject teacher

- Where required by the awarding body's specification
 - ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
 - signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for enquiries about results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in NEA and informs the exams officer

Presentation of work

Subject teacher

- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in <u>NEA</u> unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work

Keeping materials secure

Subject teacher

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in <u>NEA 4.8</u>
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely
 until the closing date for enquiries about results or until the outcome of an enquiry or any subsequent
 appeal has been conveyed to the centre
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or
 partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means
- Liaises with the IT Manager to ensure that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically

IT Manager

• Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically





Task marking – externally assessed components Conduct of externally assessed work

Subject teacher

- Liaises with the exams officer regarding arrangements for the conduct of any externally assessed nonexamination component of a specification
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

Exams officer

- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where this is applicable to any externally assessed nonexamination component of a specification
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body
- Conducts the externally assessed component according to the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting examinations

Submission of work Subject teacher

Provides the attendance register to a Visiting Examiner

Exams officer

- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where the component may be assessed by a Visiting Examiner
- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly to show candidates who are present and any who may be absent
- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner, ensures the completed attendance register accompanies the work
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for enquiries about results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

Task marking – internally assessed components Marking and annotation

Subject teacher

- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Ensures candidates are informed in a timely manner to enable an internal appeal to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body

Internal standardisation

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

• Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence





Subject teacher

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards

Consortium arrangements

Subject head/lead

- Ensures a consortium co-ordinator is nominated (where this may be required as the consortium lead)
- Liaises with the exams officer to ensure form JCQ/CCA is submitted to the awarding body for each exam series affected
- Ensures procedures for internal standardisation as a consortium are followed

Subject teacher

- Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline

Exams officer

- Arranges completion of form JCQ/CCA Centre consortium arrangements for centre assessed work
- Submits form JCQ/CCA to the deadline for each exam series affected
- Submits marks to the awarding body deadline
- Where relevant, liaises with other consortium exams officers to arrange despatch of a single moderation sample to the awarding body deadline
- Where relevant (as the consortium lead), retains all candidates' work in the consortium until after the deadline for enquiries about results for the exam series

Submission of marks and work for moderation

Subject teacher

- Inputs and submits marks online via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks awarded to the external deadline/Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external
 deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to
 the internal deadline
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required

Exams officer

- Confirms with subject teachers that marks have been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Confirms with Subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Ensures that for postal moderation
 - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
 - moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
 - proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results





 Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required

Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

Subject teacher

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions until after the deadline for enquiries about results
- Takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- Retains evidence of work where retention may be a problem (for example, photos of artefacts etc.)

Exams officer

 Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

External moderation - feedback

Subject head/lead

• Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next examination series

Exams officer

- Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

Access arrangements

Subject teacher

Works with the SENCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

Special educational needs coordinator (SENCo)

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication <u>Access Arrangements and Reasonable</u> Adjustments
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way
 of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has
 been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role





Special consideration

Subject teacher

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate
 - is absent
 - produces a reduced quantity of work
 - work has been lost
- Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments

Exams officer

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication A quide to the special consideration process
- Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
- Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
- Keeps required evidence on file to support the application

Malpractice

Head of centre

- Understands the responsibility to report to the relevant awarding body any suspected cases of malpractice involving candidates, teachers, invigilators or other administrative staff
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and Procedures</u>

Subject teacher

- Is aware of the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work</u>
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates non-examination</u> assessments
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates Social Media</u>

Exams officer

- Signposts the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and Procedures</u> to the head of centre
- Signposts the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work</u> to subject heads
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of suspected malpractice





Enquiries about results

Head of centre

Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates
(or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support an enquiry about results request
or not supporting an appeal following the outcome of an enquiry about results

Subject head/lead

Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about enquiries about results

Subject teacher

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for an enquiry about results to the internal deadline
- Supports the exams officer in collecting candidate consent where required

Exams officer

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed
 components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication <u>Post Results Services</u>,
 <u>Information and quidance for centres</u>
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline
- Collects candidate consent where required

Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England

Head of centre

Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update, that all
reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will
have, the opportunity to undertake the prescribed practical activities

Subject head/lead

- Confirms understanding of the Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England
- Ensures a response is provided to JCQ indicating which awarding body the centre intends to use for its entries in A level Biology, Chemistry and Physics (in Autumn 2016)
- Undertakes training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement
- Disseminates information to subject teachers ensuring the standards can be applied appropriately
- Liaises with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of the monitoring visit

Subject teacher

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Ensures the required arrangements for practical activities are in place
- Provides all the required centre records
- Ensures candidates provide the required records
- Provides any required information to the subject lead regarding the monitoring visit
- Assesses candidates using Common Practical Assessment Criteria (CPAC)





- Applies for an exemption where a candidate cannot access the practical endorsement due to a substantial impairment
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates Pass or Not Classified assessment outcome

Exams officer

Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates Pass or Not Classified assessment

Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

Head of centre

Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update, that all
reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will
have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments

Subject head/lead

- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

Subject teacher

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (*Pass, Merit, Distinction* or *Not Classified*) and the storage and submission of recordings

Exams officer

 Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and the storage and submission of recordings





Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Task setting		
Awarding body set task: IT	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior	Learning Lead
failure/corruption of task details	to start of course	
where set task details accessed	IT systems checked prior to key date	IT Support
from the awarding body online	Alternative IT system used to gain access	
,	Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details	
Centre set task: Subject teacher	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training	Learning Lead
fails to meet the assessment	information, practice materials etc.	
criteria as detailed in the	Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task setting	
specification	arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification	
	Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	
Candidates do not understand the	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria described in	Learning Lead
marking criteria and what they	the specification that is not specific to the work of an individual	
need to do to gain credit	candidate or group of candidates is produced for candidates	
	Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria	
	Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria	
Subject teacher long term absence	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence	Learning Leader
during the task setting stage	at key points in the exam cycle	Head Teacher
Issuing of tasks		
Task for legacy specification given	Ensures subject teachers take care to distinguish between	Learning Lead
to candidates undertaking new	requirements/tasks for legacy specifications and requirements/tasks for	
specification	new specifications	Exams Officer
	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	
Awarding body set task not issued	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the	Learning Lead
to candidates on time	specification noted prior to start of course	_
	Course information issued to candidates contains details when set task	
	will be issued and needs to be completed by	
	Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing	
	and teaching	
The wrong task is given to	Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding	Learning Lead
candidates	body's specification confirms the correct task will be issued to	
	candidates	Exams Officer
	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	
Subject teacher long term absence	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence	Learning Lead
during the issuing of tasks stage	at key points in the exam cycle	
		Head Teacher
Task taking		
Supervision		
Planned assessments clash with	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course	Learning Lead
other centre or candidate activities	Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar	Senior Leader
Rooms or facilities inadequate for	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for	Learning Lead
candidates to take tasks under	the start of the course	
appropriate supervision	Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number	
	of candidates	Exams Officer
	Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the	
	same time (exam conditions do not apply)	





LEARINING TRUST		_
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy	Learning Lead
A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed	Learning Lead Senior Leader
Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements Advice and feedback	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate	Exams Officer
Candidate claims appropriate advice and feedback not given by subject teacher prior to starting on their work	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all information provided to candidates before work begins as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work	Learning Lead Senior Leader
Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teacher during the task-taking stage	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage	Learning Lead Senior Leader
A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body	Senior Leader Exams Officer
Candidate does not reference information from published source	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	Subject Teacher
Candidate does not set out references as required	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft the set out of references before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	Subject Teacher
Candidate joins the course late after formally supervised task taking has started	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch up	Learning Lead





Candidate moves to another centre Awarding body guidance is sought to attermine what can be done depending on the stage of which the move takes place depending on the stage of which the move takes place Exams Officer	LEARNING TRUST		
An excluded pupil wants to complete his/her non-examination assessment(s) Resources A candidate augments notes and resources between formally supervised sessions Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment A candidate shall be a confirm of the sources used, including books, websites and a undo/fisual resources. A vanding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately. Where confirmation is unovaliable from another to the awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate should be marked where candidate's records, owarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate where condidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate where condidates and the awarding body guidance is sought and/or a marked provided to them on word or time limits are mandatory. Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory. Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if you work is permitted. Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved. Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if you pwork is permitted. Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved. Records confi			Exams Officer
complete his/her non-examination assessment(s) Resources Resources A candidate augments notes and resources between formally supervised sessions A candidate augments notes and resources between formally supervised sessions Where memory sticks are used by condidates, these are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where memory sticks are used by condidates, these are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment Word and time limits A candidate is penalised by the awarding body purpose is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them candidates favore worked in groups where the awarding body specification has been checked to or time limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them candidates have been awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them candidates have been awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Authentication procedures A teacher has doubts about the authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood Candidate for internal assessment Candidates confirm that condidates have been issued with the current LCQ dowment Information for candidates non-examination assessments Candidates confirm that condidates have been issued with the current LCQ dowment Information for candi			
Resources A candidate sugments notes and resources between formally supervised sessions Where memory sticks are used by condidates, these are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted to acknowledges sources on work that is submitted of a sassessment A candidate fails to acknowledge sources appropriately where condidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is feecked to confirm all the sources used, including books, websites and audio/visual resources sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body you'dance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where condidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately where confirmation is unavailable from and details of the candidate is penalised by the awarding body for the candidate is penalised by the awarding body for the candidate is penalised by the awarding body for the candidate sources appropriately where ilmits are mandatory Where ilmits are for guidance only, condidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates have worked in groups work Candidates have worked in groups where ilmits are mandatory Where the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Awarding body guidance is sought where this issu			Exams Officer
Resources A candidate augments notes and resources between formally supervised sessions Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where work is stored on the centre's network, occess for condidates is very staticted between formally supervised sessions A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment A candidate is seen that is submitted for assessment A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits are mandatory Collaboration and group work Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification states this is not permitted Authentication procedures A teacher has doubts about the authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment Candidate for internal assessment Candidate sonfirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification states this is not groups where the awarding body specification states this is not groups where the awarding body specification states this is not groups where the awarding body specification states this is not groups where the awarding body specification states this is not groups where the awarding body specification states this is not groups where the awarding body specification states this is not groups where the awarding body specification states this is not groups where the awarding body specification states this is not group work is permitted Authentication procedures A teacher has doubts about the authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment A candidates confirm/record any information for candidates work where the predict of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment A candidate confirm/record that they understand what they need to do comment information			
A candidate augments notes and Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions and submitted for assessment as something to the sources on work that is submitted for assessment where the supervised sessions and audovisual resources on work that is submitted for assessment as a submitted for assessment where the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources oppropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources oppropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources oppropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources oppropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources oppropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources oppropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidates's execute to confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood and the summary of the work submitted of th	assessment(s)		
A candidate augments notes and resources between formally supervised sessions Where memory stricks are used by candidates, these are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions Candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment A candidate is seen that is submitted for assessment A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits Word and time limits Word and time limits Word and time limits Candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits or time limits or time limits are for guidance only, condidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body using the work or time limits is known and understood Candidates have worked in groups where the having body to the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment A teacher has doubts about the awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved permitted Candidate plagiarises other material Candidate plagiarises other material Candidate plagiarises other material Candidate for internal assessment in the complex of the candidates confirm record that they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments an outlined in the ICQ document Information for candidates in one-examination assessments an outlined in the ICQ document Information for candidates in the ICQ document Information assessments an outlined in the ICQ document Information for candidates in the ICQ document Information assessments and outlined in the ICQ document Information in the ICQ docu		made separately for the candidate	
resources between formally supervised sessions Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions Candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment Candidate is detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources and audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the condidate's should be marked where condidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately Where confirmation is unavailable to mark of zero is submitted to the owarding body for exceeding word or time limits Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification states this is not permitted Authentication procedures A teacher has doubts about the authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment Candidate plagiarises other material Earning Lead Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current LCQ document Information for condidates: non-examination assessments outlined to the comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments outlined to the comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments Candidate does not sign their authentication of the candidates work is non-examination assessments Candidate does not sign their authentication for candidates have been issued with the current LCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate does not sign their authentication in the ICQ document information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate does not sign their authentication formation of candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate of or signature before accepting		T	T .
## Where memony sticks are used by candidates, these are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions ## A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment ## A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment ## A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment ## A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted or assessment ## A candidate shall did to the awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidates shall be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body for the candidate shall be awarding body for the candidate or time limits ## A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits ## A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding them ## Candidates are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them ## Candidates have worked in groups work ## Candidates have worked in groups determine if word or time limits are mandatory ## Where limits is known and understood ## Candidates have worked in groups determine if group work is permitted ## A warding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved permitted ## A warding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved ## A warding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved ## A warding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved ## A warding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved ## A warding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved ## A warding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved ## A warding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved ## A warding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved ## A warding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved ## A warding body guidance sought where th			Learning Lead
A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment A candidate is detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources and audio/visual resources and resources and audio/visual resources and audi	•	1	
A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment A candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used, including books, websites and audio/visual resources. Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is supplied and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body guidance is supplied and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body for the candidate A candidate is penalised by the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood Callaboration and group work Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted A warding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved exampled the awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved activities and the awarding body specification has been checked to dottermine if group work is permitted A candidate for internal assessments A candidate for internal assessment material and candidates' work application for candidates in on-examination assessments of a confirm the candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates in on-examination assessments and the point of proceeding the process of the	supervised sessions		Subject Teacher
restricted between formally supervised sessions A candidate falls to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment work that is submitted for assessment with the submitted should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately with the condidate should be marked where candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits are mondatory where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them candidates worked in group work with the first of the work of time limits is known and understood Collaboration and group work Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted warding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted warding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved permitted warding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved permitted warding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved permitted warding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved permitted warding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved permitted warding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved permitted warding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved permitted warding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved permitted warding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved permitted warding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved permitted warding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved permitted warding body gui			
A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment A candidate's acknowledge acknowledge and oudio/visual resources used, including books, websites and oudio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate **Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates have worked in groups where the imits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if your or time limits is known and understood **Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved **Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved **Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the ICQ document Information for candidates have been issued with the current ICQ document Information for candidates have been issued with the current ICQ document Information for candidates have been issued with the current ICQ document Information for candidates for non-examination assessments **The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body **Candidate does not sign their authentication A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body **Candidate sought in the ICQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature be		=	
tec. is checked to confirm all the sources used, including books, websites and audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the condidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved determine if group work is permitted authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment Candidate plagiarises other material Candidate plagiarises other material Candidate plagiarises other material Candidate of comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments a outlined in the ICQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments and comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments and comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments and comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations of non-examination assessments and could the complete of			
for assessment Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits A candidate is penalised by the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood Collaboration and group work Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Awarding body quidance sought where this issue remains unresolved permitted Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved Permitted Permitted Permitted Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ document Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates work Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate plagiarises other material and candidates work Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates		<u> </u>	Subject Teacher
Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate Word and time limits A candidate is penalised by the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved between it is group work is permitted A warding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved Exams Officer Exa		<u> </u>	
candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body govern the candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits **Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates on firm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood **Collaboration and group work** **Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Awarding body specification states this is not permitted **Authentication procedures** **A teacher has doubts about the authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment Candidates confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ document Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work Records confirm that candidates: non-examination assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments and to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments and to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments and to comply with the regulations as outlined to the awarding body **Candidate does not sign their authentication and the summation of the sessment is another information for candidates: non-examination assessments and condidates and the properties of the proper	for assessment		
acknowledges sources appropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate Word and time limits Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding tem Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood Collaboration and group work Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Awarding body specification states this is not permitted Authentication procedures A teacher has doubts about the authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment document information for candidates in on-examination assessments Candidate plagiarises other material Candidate plagiarises other material Candidate does not sign their authentication A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Learning Lead A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not sign their authentication Candidate confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate confirm/record that they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations of non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations of non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations of so candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations of so candidates have been issued with the curren			
Word and time limits A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them. Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits is known and understood Collaboration and group work Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Authentication states this is not permitted Authentication procedures A teacher has doubts about the authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal earthenial earthenial earth information for candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination examination examination assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination sessesments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate does not sign their authentication Candidate does not sign their and the candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand			
Word and time limits A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for the candidate A candidate is penalised by the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood Collaboration and group work Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Authentication states this is not permitted Authentication procedures A teacher has doubts about the authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment Candidates confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments and cultined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments statement/declaration Candidate does not sign their authentication Candidate confirm/record that they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments statement/declaration Candidate confirm/record that they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the HCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate confirm/record and submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not sign their authentication Candidate confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information assessments Candidates confirm/re			
Word and time limits Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification states this is not permitted Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved determine if group work is permitted Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved permitted Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved document Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate plagiarises other material and candidates in one-examination assessments and cultimet in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments and cultimet in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not sign their authentication statement/declaration Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations go outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the ICQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment Subject teacher not available to subject teacher so discour		·	
A candidate is penalised by the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood Collaboration and group work Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted A warding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted A warding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted A warding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted A warding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted A warding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted A warding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted A warding body specification has been checked to them on word of time if group work is permitted and candidates work is permitted. A teacher has doubts about the awarding body specification has been checked to them on word of the learning Lead document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with			
A candidate is penalised by the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood Collaboration and group work Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification states this is not permitted Authentication procedures A teacher has doubts about the authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment Candidate only internal assessment Candidate plagiarises other material Candidate does not sign their authentication statement/declaration Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments and outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations of non-examination assessments and outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments The condidate's work is not accepted for assessment and the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments The condidate's work is not accepted for assessment and the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments The condidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not sign their authentication Statement/declaration Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting		awarding body for the candidate	
awarding body for exceeding word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood Collaboration and group work Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved permitted Authentication procedures A teacher has doubts about the authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment Candidates confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ document Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate confirm/record that they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination of candidates: non-examination assessment The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not sign their authentication candidate confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm frecord they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment Subject teacher not available to Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms Authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of authentication			ı
or time limits Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood Collaboration and group work Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved Exams Officer permitted Authentication procedures A teacher has doubts about the authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment Candidate of internal assessment Candidate plagiarises other anaterial Candidate plagiarises other anaterial Candidate plagiarises other anaterial Candidate occurrent of the transplant of the comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not sign their authentication Statement/declaration Subject teacher not available to Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms Where limits are for quindinates and information provided to them on word Attendinates and understood Learning Lead Learning Lead Learning Lead Learning Lead Learning Lead Learning Lead Senior Leader Senior Leader Candidate does not sign their authentication for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations of so the place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms	The state of the s		Subject Teacher
exceeding them Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood		1	
Collaboration and group work Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved Authentication procedures A teacher has doubts about the authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment Candidate plagiarises other material Candidate does not sign their authentication Statement/declaration Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms Candidate s confirm/record authentication forms Candidates cantire-wide process is in place for subject teacher so agant teacher so and the process is in place for subject teacher so agant teacher so and the process is in place for subject teacher so span authentication forms Candidates confirm/record and the point of marking candidates work as part of	or time limits		Learning Lead
Collaboration and group work Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted specification states this is not permitted Authentication procedures A teacher has doubts about the authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment Candidate plagiarises other material Candidate plagiarises other material Candidate does not sign their authentication Statement/declaration Candidate confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations of candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not sign their authentication Statement/declaration Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment Subject teacher not available to Sign authentication forms Senior Leader		<u> </u>	
Collaboration and group work Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved Authentication procedures A teacher has doubts about the authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment Candidate plagiarises other material and candidates sought where this issue remains unresolved Authentication procedures Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ document Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate plagiarises other authentication Candidate does not sign their authentication Candidate does not sign their authentication Candidate sonifirm/record that they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not sign their authentication Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment Subject teacher not available to Signauthentication forms Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms			
Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved Exams Officer		or time limits is known and understood	
where the awarding body specification states this is not permitted Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved Exams Officer Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved Exams Officer Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved Exams Officer Exams Officer Exams Officer Exams Officer Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved Exams Officer Ex			1
Specification states this is not permitted Authentication procedures A teacher has doubts about the authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment Accords confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ document Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work assessment Candidate for internal assessment Accords confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not sign their authentication Statement/declaration Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of			Learning Lead
Authentication procedures A teacher has doubts about the authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment Candidate plagiarises other material Candidate plagiarises other material Candidate does not sign their authentication statement/declaration Statement/declaration Candidate does not accepted for assessments Candidates confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not sign their authentication statement/declaration Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms A the cords confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ document Information assessment material and candidates' work as part of the JCQ document information assessments Records confirm that candidates: non-examination assessments The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not sign their according that candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what the current JCQ accument information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of		1	- o.c.
Authentication procedures A teacher has doubts about the authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment Candidate plagiarises other material Candidate plagiarises other material Candidate does not sign their authentication statement/declaration Statement/declaration Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms A teacher has doubts about the authentication for candidates about the more double according session authentication forms Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ document Information assessment material and candidates' work as part of Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms Senior Leader	-	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	Exams Officer
A teacher has doubts about the authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment Becords confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ document Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate plagiarises other Candidates in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not sign their authentication Statement/declaration Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms Easures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms	•		
authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal acandidate for internal assessment A Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate plagiarises other acandidate for internal accepted for assessment accepted for acc			1
by a candidate for internal assessment Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not sign their authentication statement/declaration Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms Senior Leader			
assessment document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non- examination assessments The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not sign their authentication Statement/declaration Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms Senior Leader Senior Leader Senior Leader		<u> </u>	Learning Lead
Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non- examination assessments The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not sign their authentication Statement/declaration Candidates confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms Senior Leader			Carriantandan
Candidate plagiarises other material to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not sign their authentication for candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of	assessment		Senior Leader
material outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non- examination assessments The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not sign their authentication Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of	Can didata ulasiaviana athan	1	
examination assessments The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Candidate does not sign their authentication Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms Senior Leader			
The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ authentication statement/declaration Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of	material		
Candidate does not sign their authentication statement/declaration Candidates confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Learning Lead Learning Lead Senior Leader			
Candidate does not sign their authentication statement/declaration Candidates confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms Senior Leader		· · ·	
authentication statement/declaration Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms Senior Leader	Candidate does not sign their	,	Loarning Load
Subject teacher not available to sign authentication Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms Senior Leader		1	Learning Lead
comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of		1	
Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of	statement/declaration	1	
Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of			
candidate for formal assessmentSubject teacher not available to sign authentication formsEnsures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part ofSenior Leader		1 -	
Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of			
sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of	Cubicat top above not available to		Conjor London
	=		Senior reager
the centre's quality assurance procedures	Sign authentication forms		
Presentation of work	Drocontation of work	the centre's quality assurance procedures	





LEARNING TRUST		3011001
Candidate does not fully complete the awarding body's cover sheet that is attached to their worked	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	Subject Teacher
submitted for formal assessment		
Keeping materials secure		T
Candidates work between formal supervised sessions is not securely stored	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments Regular monitoring ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage	Subject Teacher Learning Lead
Adequate secure storage not available to subject teacher	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course Alternative secure storage sourced where required	Learning Lead
Task marking – externally assessed of		
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an acceptable reason	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	Exams Officer
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	Subject Teacher
Task marking – internally assessed of	omponents	
A candidate submits little or no	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as	Subject Teacher
work	absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body	Learning Lead
A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work	Subject Teacher
The work of a candidate is lost or damaged	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or demand work	Subject Teacher
Candidate malpractice is discovered	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed	Senior Leader and Learning Lead
A teacher marks the work of his/her own child	A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a teacher is teaching his/her own child at the start of the course Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not	Exams Officer Learning Lead
An extension to the deadline for submission of marks is required for	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the	Exams Officer
a legitimate reason	special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension	Learning Lead Head Teacher
After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was	Awarding body is contacted for guidance Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the	Exams Officer
given to candidates	special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates	Learning Lead
A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body	Subject Teacher
their teacher	Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks	Learning Lead





Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change	
through the awarding body's moderation process	
Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the	
internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks	
Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of	
the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an	
appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body	
Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the	Subject Teacher
start of the course	
Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood	Exams Officer
Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought to	
determine if the work can be accepted late for marking providing the	
awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met	
Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be	
accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding	
body for the candidate	
Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic	Learning Lead
year	
Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines	Senior Leader
approach	
Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers	
Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	
See centre's exam contingency plan (Teaching staff extended absence at	Senior Leader
key points in the exam cycle)	Head Teacher
	through the awarding body's moderation process Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks. Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body. Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course. Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood. Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought to determine if the work can be accepted late for marking providing the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met. Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding body for the candidate. Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic year. Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines approach. Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers. Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed. See centre's exam contingency plan (Teaching staff extended absence at



