



Centre 27250 (North Campus) Centre 27212 (South Campus)

**Centre Policy for determining teacher assessed A/AS and
GCSEs grades in Summer 2021**

Index

	Page
Background	3
Statement of intent	4
Roles and responsibilities	5-6
Training, support and guidance	7
Use of appropriate evidence	8-9
Determining teacher assessed grades	9
Internal quality assurance	10
Comparison of teacher assessed grades to results for previous cohorts	11
Access Arrangements and Special Considerations	12
Addressing disruption/differential lost learning (DLL)	13
Objectivity	13
Recording decisions and retention of evidence and data	14
Authenticating evidence	15
Confidentiality, malpractice and conflicts of interest	16-17
Private candidates	18
External Quality Assurance	19
Results	20
Appeals	21

Centre Policy for determining teacher assessed grades in Summer 2021

Elizabeth Woodville School

North Campus Centre 27250 and South Campus 27212

Background

Every centre is required to create a Centre Policy that reflects its individual circumstances.

Our policy takes account of the guidance provided in the document: *JCQ Guidance on the determination of grades for A/AS Levels and GCSEs for summer 2021*

Our policy refers to A/AS Levels, GCSEs and any other Vocational, General and Technical qualifications that are entered at our centre and are not covered by a separate policy.

Statement of intent

This section outlines the purpose of this document in relation to our centre.

Statement of Intent

This section outlines the purpose of this document, as appropriate to our centre:

- *To ensure that teacher assessed grades are determined fairly, consistently, free from bias and effectively within and across departments.*
- *To ensure the operation of effective processes with clear guidelines and support for staff.*
- *To ensure that all staff involved in the processes clearly understand their roles and responsibilities.*
- *To support teachers to make evidence-based decisions in line with Joint Council for Qualifications (JCQ) guidance.*
- *To ensure the consideration of historical centre data in the process, and the appropriate decision making in respect of teacher assessed grades (TAGs).*
- *To support a high standard of internal quality assurance in the allocation of teacher assessed grades.*
- *To support our centre in meeting its obligations in relation to equality legislation.*
- *To ensure our centre meets all requirements set out by the Department of Education, Ofqual, the JCQ and awarding organisations for Summer 2021 qualifications.*
- *To ensure the process for communicating to candidates and their parents/carers how they will be assessed is clear, in order to give confidence.*

Roles and responsibilities

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the personnel in our centre who have specific roles and responsibilities in the process of determining teacher assessed grades this year.

Roles and Responsibilities

Head of Centre, Sharan Matharu, will:

- *be responsible for approving our policy for determining teacher assessed grades.*
- *have overall responsibility for the Elizabeth Woodville School North and South as examinations centres and will ensure that clear roles and responsibilities of all staff are defined.*
- *confirm that teacher assessed grade decisions represent the academic judgement made by teachers and that the checks in place ensure these align with the guidance on standards provided by awarding organisations.*
- *ensure a robust internal quality assurance process has been produced and signed off in advance of results being submitted.*

Senior Leadership Team (SLT) and Heads of Department will:

- *provide training and support to our other staff and be logged on our TAG training log.*
- *support the Head of Centre in the quality assurance of the final teacher assessed grades.*
- *ensure an effective approach within and across departments and authenticate the preliminary outcome from single teacher subjects.*
- *be responsible for ensuring staff have a clear understanding of the internal and external quality assurance processes and their role within it.*
- *ensure that all teachers within their department make consistent judgements about student evidence in deriving a grade.*
- *ensure all staff conduct assessments under the appropriate levels of control with reference to guidance provided by the Joint Council for Qualifications.*
- *ensure teachers have the information required to make accurate and fair judgments.*
- *ensure that a Head of Department Checklist is completed for each qualification that they are submitting.*
- *SLT will ensure consistency in the application of any special consideration. We will ensure Senior Leaders have read and understood the document: [JCQ – A guide to the special consideration process, with effect from 1 September 2020](#).*

Teachers/ Specialist Teachers / SENCo will:

- *ensure they conduct assessments under our centre's appropriate levels of control and have sufficient evidence, in line with this Centre Policy and guidance from the JCQ, to provide teacher assessed grades for each student they have entered for a qualification.*
- *ensure that the teacher assessed grade they assign to each student is a fair, valid and reliable reflection of the assessed evidence available for each student.*
- *make judgements based on what each student has been taught and what they have been assessed on, as outlined in the section on grading in the main JCQ guidance.*
- *produce an Assessment Record for each subject cohort, that includes the nature of the assessment evidence being used, the level of control for assessments considered, and any other evidence that explains the determination of the final teacher assessed grades.*

- Any necessary variations for individual students will also be recorded.*
- *be able to retrieve sufficient evidence to justify their decisions.*

Examinations Officer will:

- *be responsible for the administration of our final teacher assessed grades and for managing the post-results services.*
- *securely store the evidence provided by departments to justify the TAG decisions.*
- *support the consistent application of any special considerations for individual students.*

Tove Learning Trust (TLT) - Multi-Academy Trust (MAT) Support and Audit:

The TLT Teacher Assessed Grades Focus Group, working across all schools within the MAT, will assist with queries, the dissemination of information and sharing of good practice to support compliance with all aspects of Ofqual, JCQ and Exam Board guidance.

The TLT Central Team (CEO, Director of Education and Data Strategy Manager) will audit all documents, sample assessments and moderation, explore and support cross-Trust moderation as required and test the validity and objectivity of teacher assessed grades, checking for bias and performance of students with protected characteristics.

Training, support and guidance

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the training, support and guidance that our centre will provide to those determining teacher assessed grades this year.

Training

- *Teachers involved in determining grades in our centre will attend any centre-based training to help achieve consistency and fairness to all students.*
- *Teachers will engage fully with all training and support that has been provided by the JCQ and the awarding organisations. A log will be kept of any training attended.*
- *In-school training will be provided on objectivity and bias; this will be logged on our TAG training log.*

Support for Newly Qualified Teachers (NQTs) and teachers less familiar with assessment

- *We will provide mentoring from experienced teachers to NQTs and teachers less familiar with assessment.*
- *We will put in place additional internal reviews of teacher assessed grades for NQTs and other teachers as appropriate.*

Use of appropriate evidence

This section of our Centre Policy outlines how our centre will give due regard to the section in the JCQ guidance entitled: *Guidance on grading for teachers*.

Use of evidence

- *Teachers making judgements will have regard to the Ofqual Head of Centre guidance on recommended evidence, and further guidance provided by awarding organisations.*
- *Candidate evidence from Evidence Collection Activities (ECAs) held from 15 March 2021 used to determine teacher assessed grades, and associated documentation, will be retained and made available for the purposes of external quality assurance and appeals.*
- *We will refer to a combination of the following:*
 - *student work produced in response to assessment materials provided by our awarding organisation(s), including groups of questions, past papers or similar materials such as practice or sample papers.*
 - *non-exam assessment work (often referred to as coursework), even if this has not been fully completed.*
 - *student work produced in centre-devised tasks that reflect the specification, that follow the same format as awarding organisation materials, and have been marked in a way that reflects awarding organisation mark schemes.*
 - *substantial class or homework (including work that took place during remote learning).*
 - *internal tests taken by pupils.*
 - *mock exams taken over the course of study.*
 - *records of a student's capability and performance over the course of study in performance-based subjects such as music, drama and PE.*

Additional Assessment Materials:

- *We may also refer to additional assessment materials to give students the opportunity to show what they know, understand or can do in an area of content that has been taught but not yet assessed.*
- *We may use additional assessment materials to give students an opportunity to show improvement, for example, to validate or replace an existing piece of evidence.*
- *We may use additional assessment materials to support consistency of judgement between teachers or classes by giving everyone the same task to complete.*
- *We may combine and/or remove elements of questions where, for example, a multi-part question includes a part which focuses on an element of the specification that has not been taught.*

Our centre will ensure the appropriateness of evidence and balance of evidence in arriving at grades in the following ways:

- *We will consider the level of control under which an assessment was completed, for example, whether the evidence was produced under high control and under supervision or at home.*
- *We will ensure that we are able to authenticate the work as the student's own, especially where that work was not completed within the school.*

- *We will consider the limitations of assessing a student's performance when using assessments that have been completed more than once, or drafted and redrafted, where this is not a skill being assessed.*
- *We will consider the specification and assessment objective coverage of the assessment.*
- *We will consider the depth and breadth of knowledge, understanding and skills assessed, especially higher order skills within individual assessments.*

Determining teacher assessed grades

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the approach our centre will take to determining teacher assessed grades.

Awarding teacher assessed grades based on evidence

- *Our teachers will determine grades based on evidence which is commensurate with the standard at which a student is performing, i.e. their demonstrated knowledge, understanding and skills across the content of the course they have been taught.*
- *Our teachers will record how the evidence was used to arrive at a fair and objective grade, which is free from bias.*
- *Our teachers will produce an Assessment Record for each subject cohort and will share this with their Head of Department. Any variations for individual students will also be noted.*

Internal quality assurance

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the approach our centre will take to ensure internal standardisation of teacher assessed grades, to ensure consistency, fairness and objectivity of decisions within and across subject departments.

Head of Centre Internal Quality Assurance and Declaration

Internal quality assurance

- *We will ensure that all teachers involved in deriving teacher assessed grades read and understand this Centre Policy document.*
- *In subjects where there is more than one teacher and/or class in the department, we will ensure that our centre carries out an internal standardisation process.*
- *We will ensure that all teachers are provided with training {logged on our TAG training log} and support to ensure they take a consistent approach to:*
 - *arriving at teacher assessed grades*
 - *marking of evidence*
 - *reaching a holistic grading decision*
 - *applying the use of grading support and documentation.*
- *We will conduct internal standardisation across all grades.*
- *We will ensure that the Assessment Record forms the basis of internal standardisation and discussions across teachers to agree the determining of teacher assessed grades.*
- *Where necessary, we will review and reflect on individual grading decisions to ensure alignment with the standards as outlined by our awarding organisations.*
- *Where appropriate, we will amend individual grade decisions to ensure alignment with the standards as outlined by our awarding organisations.*
- *Where there is only one teacher involved in marking assessments and determining grades, then the output of this activity will be reviewed by an appropriate member of staff within the Tove Learning Trust.*
- *In respect of equality legislation, we will consider the range of evidence for students of different protected characteristics that are included in our internal standardisation.*

Comparison of teacher assessed grades to results for previous cohorts

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the approach we will take to compare our teacher assessed grades in 2021 with results from previous cohorts.

Comparison of Teacher Assessed Grades to results for previous cohorts

This section outlines our internal process to ensure a comparison of teacher assessed grades at qualification level to results for previous cohorts in our centre taking the same qualification.

- *We will compile information on the grades awarded to our students in past June series in which exams took place (e.g. 2017 - 2019).*
- *We will consider the size of our cohort from year to year.*
- *We will consider the stability of our centre's overall grade outcomes from year to year.*
- *We will consider both subject and centre level variation in our outcomes during the internal quality assurance process.*
- *We will prepare a succinct narrative on the outcomes of the review against historic data. In the event of significant divergence from the qualification-level profiles attained in previous examined years, we will address the reasons for this divergence. This commentary will be available for subsequent review during the Quality Assurance (QA) process.*

This section outlines the approach our centre will follow if our initial teacher assessed grades for a qualification are viewed during moderation as overly lenient or harsh compared to results in previous years.

- *We will compile historical data giving appropriate regard to potential mixtures of A*-G and 9-1 grades in GCSEs. Where required, we will use the Ofqual guidance to convert legacy grades into the new 9 to 1 scale.*
- *We will bring together other data sources that will help to quality assure the grades we intend to submit in 2021.*
- *We will look at historical data by subject and compare to the grades we intend to award to ensure the grades are not overly lenient or harsh, whilst also considering the progress being made in each subject area.*

This section outlines changes in our cohorts that need to be reflected in our comparisons.

- *We will omit subjects that we no longer offer from the historical data.*

Access Arrangements and Special Considerations

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the approach our centre will take to provide students with appropriate access arrangements and take into account mitigating circumstances (special consideration) in particular instances.

Reasonable adjustments and mitigating circumstances (special consideration)

- *Where students have agreed access arrangements or reasonable adjustments (for example a reader or scribe) we will make every effort to ensure that these arrangements are in place when assessments are being taken.*
- *Where an assessment has taken place without an agreed reasonable adjustment or access arrangement, we will take account of this when making judgements.*
- *Where illness or other personal circumstances might have affected performance in assessments used in determining a student's standard of performance, we will take account of this when making judgements.*
- *We will record, as part of the Assessment Record, how we have incorporated any necessary variations to take account of the impact of illness or personal circumstances on the performance of individual students in assessments.*
- *To ensure consistency in the application of Special Consideration, such circumstances will be reviewed at senior leadership level. We will ensure Senior Leaders have read and understood the document: [JCQ – A guide to the special consideration process, with effect from 1 September 2020](#)*

Addressing disruption/differential lost learning (DLL)

Addressing Disruption/Differentiated Lost Learning (DLL)

- Teacher assessed grades will be determined based on evidence of the content that has been taught and assessed for each student.

Objectivity

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the arrangements in place to ensure objectivity of decisions.

Objectivity

Staff will fulfil their duties and responsibilities in relation to relevant equality and disability legislation.

Head of Centre, Senior Leaders and Heads of Department will consider:

- *sources of unfairness and bias (situations/contexts, difficulty, presentation and format, language, conditions for assessment, marker preconceptions);*
- *how to minimise bias in questions and marking and hidden forms of bias;*
- *bias in teacher assessed grades.*

To ensure objectivity, all staff involved in determining teacher assessed grades will be made aware that:

- *unconscious bias can skew judgements;*
- *the evidence presented should be valued for its own merit as an indication of performance and attainment;*
- *teacher assessed grades should not be influenced by candidates' positive or challenging personal circumstances, character, behaviour, appearance, socio-economic background, or protected characteristics;*
- *unconscious bias is more likely to occur when quick opinions are formed.*

Our internal standardisation process will help to ensure that there are different perspectives to the quality assurance process.

Recording decisions and retention of evidence and data

This section of our Centre Policy outlines our arrangements to record decisions and to retain evidence and data.

Recording Decisions and Retention of Evidence and Data

- *We will ensure that teachers and Heads of Departments maintain records that show how the teacher assessed grades process operated, including the rationale for decisions in relation to individual marks/grades.*
- *We will ensure that evidence is maintained across a variety of tasks to develop a holistic view of each student's demonstrated knowledge, understanding and skills in the areas of content taught.*
- *We will put in place recording requirements for the various stages of the process to ensure the accurate and secure retention of the evidence used to make decisions.*
- *We will comply with our obligations regarding data protection legislation.*
- *We will ensure that the grades accurately reflect the evidence held in school.*
- *We will ensure that evidence is retained electronically or on paper in a secure centre-based system that can be readily shared with our awarding organisations*
- *Our Business Continuity Policy outlines how cyber outbreaks would be managed to restore electronic systems.*

Authenticating evidence

Authenticating evidence

This section of our Centre Policy details the mechanisms in place to ensure that teachers are confident in the authenticity of evidence, and the process for dealing with cases where evidence is not thought to be authentic.

- *Robust mechanisms (which will include: assessments sat in exam conditions, seating plans, moderation, use of data we currently hold for each student, contingency plans for lockdowns, use of our centre policy for malpractice etc.) will be in place to ensure that teachers are confident that work used as evidence is the students' own and that no inappropriate levels of support have been given to students to complete it, either within the centre or with external tutors.*
- *It is understood that awarding organisations will investigate instances where it appears evidence is not authentic. We will follow all guidance provided by awarding organisations which will be emailed out to our teachers to support these determinations of authenticity.*
- *In the event of a local/national lockdown, we may ask students to complete work at home that will be used for evidence towards their Teacher Assessed Grades if we do not have sufficient evidence recorded.*
- *In the event of a local/national lockdown during the Grade submission process, our exams manager will be set up with remote access from home.*
- *We will regularly save data in two places (in the cloud and on a secure external hard drive) so that in the event of a cyberattack, all data is still retrievable.*

Confidentiality, malpractice and conflicts of interest

Confidentiality

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the measures in place to ensure the confidentiality of the grades our centre determines, and to make students aware of the range of evidence on which those grades will be based.

Confidentiality

- *All staff involved have been made aware of the need to maintain the confidentiality of teacher assessed grades.*
- *All teaching staff have been briefed on the requirement to share details of the range of evidence on which students' grades will be based, while ensuring that details of the final grades remain confidential.*
- *Relevant details from this Policy, including requirements around sharing details of evidence and the confidentiality requirements, have been shared with parents/guardians.*

Malpractice

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the measures in place to prevent malpractice and other breaches of exam regulations, and to deal with such cases if they occur in accordance with awarding organisation requirements

Malpractice

- *Our general centre policies regarding malpractice, maladministration and conflicts of interest have been reviewed to ensure they address the specific challenges of delivery in Summer 2021.*
- *All staff involved have been made aware of these policies, and have received training in them as necessary.*
- *All staff involved have been made aware of the specific types of malpractice which may affect the Summer 2021 series including:*
 - *breaches of internal security;*
 - *deception;*
 - *improper assistance to students;*
 - *failure to appropriately authenticate a student's work;*
 - *over direction of students in preparation for common assessments;*
 - *submission of grades not supported by evidence or where evidence is known to be inaccurate;*
 - *entering students who were not originally intending to certificate a grade in the Summer 2021 series;*

- *failure to engage as requested with awarding organisations during the External Quality Assurance and appeal stages;*
- *failure to keep appropriate records of decisions made and teacher assessed grades.*

- *The consequences of malpractice or maladministration as published in the JCQ guidance: [JCQ Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures](#) and including the risk of a delay to students receiving their grades, up to, and including, removal of centre status have been outlined to all relevant staff.*

Conflicts of Interest

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the measures in place to address potential conflicts of interest and how we will respond to such allegations.

Conflicts of Interest

- *To protect the integrity of assessments, all staff involved in the determination of grades must declare any conflict of interest such as relationships with students to our Head of Centre for further consideration. A register and details of any actions we have taken to mitigate this is kept within school with our Exams Manager.*
- *Our Head of Centre will take appropriate action to manage any conflicts of interest arising with centre staff in accordance with the JCQ documents - [General Regulations for Approved Centres, 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021](#).*
- *We will also carefully consider if needed, the separation of duties and personnel to ensure fairness in later process reviews and appeals.*
- *All staff have been reminded of the school's disciplinary process in the event of any breach of confidentiality.*

Private candidates

This section of our Centre Policy details our approach to providing and quality assuring grades to Private Candidates.

Private Candidates

- *Any private candidates accepted for Summer 2021 entry will be expected to undertake supervised activities in school so that the Centre can be confident about the authenticity of the evidence. No pre-existing evidence other than from educational organisations recognised by the awarding body will be accepted. For subjects not taught by the Centre, third party subject expertise will be engaged to support the assessment process.*
- *Our arrangements for assessing Private Candidates to arrive at appropriate grades are identical to the approaches utilised for internal candidates.*
- *Where it has been necessary to utilise different approaches, the **JCQ Guidance on Private Candidates** has been followed and any divergences from our approach for internal candidates have been recorded on the appropriate class/student documentation.*
- *In undertaking the review of cohort grades in conjunction with our centre results profiles from previous examined years, the grades determined by our centre for Private Candidates have been excluded from our analysis.*

External Quality Assurance

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the arrangements in place to comply with awarding organisation arrangements for External Quality Assurance of teacher assessed grades in a timely and effective way.

External Quality Assurance

- *All staff involved have been made aware of the awarding organisation requirements for External Quality Assurance as set out in the **JCQ Guidance**.*
- *All necessary records of decision-making in relation to determining grades have been properly kept and can be made available for review as required.*
- *All student evidence on which decisions regarding the determination of grades has been retained and can be made available for review as required.*
- *Instances where student evidence used to decide teacher assessed grades is not available, for example where the material has previously been returned to students and cannot now be retrieved, will be clearly recorded on the appropriate documentation.*
- *All staff involved have been briefed on the possibility of interaction with awarding organisations during the different stages of the External Quality Assurance process and can respond promptly and fully to enquiries, including attendance at Virtual Visits should this prove necessary.*
- *Arrangements are in place to respond fully and promptly to any additional requirements/reviews that may be identified as a result of the External Quality Assurance process.*
- *Staff have been made aware that a failure to respond fully and effectively to such additional requirements may result in further action by the awarding organisations, including the withholding of results.*

Results

This section of our Centre Policy outlines our approach to the receipt and issue of results to students and the provision of necessary advice and guidance.

Results

- *All staff involved have been made aware of the specific arrangements for the issue of results in Summer 2021, including the issuing of A/AS and GCSE results in the same week.*
- *Arrangements will be made to ensure the necessary staffing, including exams office and support staff, to enable the efficient receipt and release of results to our students.*
- *Arrangements will be in place for the provision of all necessary advice, guidance and support, including pastoral support, to students on receipt of their results.*
- *Such guidance will include advice on the appeals process in place for Summer 2021 (see below).*
- *Appropriate staff will be available to respond promptly to any requests for information from awarding organisations, for example regarding missing or incomplete results, to enable such issues to be swiftly resolved.*
- *Parents/guardians have been made aware of arrangements for results days and will continue to be updated.*

Appeals

This section of our Centre Policy outlines our approach to Appeals, to ensure that they are handled swiftly and effectively, and in line with JCQ requirements.

Appeals

This section outlines our approach to managing appeals, including Centre Reviews, and subsequent appeals to awarding organisations. Any additional guidance provided by JCQ or awarding bodies will be followed.

- *All staff involved will be made aware of the arrangements for, and the requirements of, appeals in Summer 2021, as set out in **JCQ Guidance**.*
- *Internal arrangements will be in place for the swift and effective handling of Centre Reviews in compliance with the requirements.*
- *All necessary staff will be briefed on the process for, and timing of, such reviews, and will be available to ensure their prompt and efficient handling.*
- *Learners will be appropriately guided as to the necessary stages of appeal.*
- *Arrangements will be in place for the timely submission of appeals to awarding organisations, including any priority appeals, for example those on which university places depend.*
- *Arrangements will be in place to obtain the written consent of students to the initiation of appeals, and to record their awareness that grades may go down as well as up on appeal.*
- *Appropriate information on the appeals process will be provided to parents/carers.*